The Foundations of the Nation Must be Restored

Constitutional Illegality and the EU

IN 2016, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland has arrived at a new watershed in the long history of its nation state – a moment more decisive than any other it has faced in preceding centuries. Powers other than those of the parliament at Westminster now rule and dictate in this the Queen's Realm – while other forces, committed to casting aside the basic integrity of society, are undermining the very foundations of the nation.

The binding of future parliaments and the subjugation of **English Common Law** by **Roman Civil Law** has broken the covenant between those who govern and hold the rod of justice under the Crown and the governed, the subjects or citizens of the Queen's Realm. Parliament at Westminster is now but a mere shell of its former self. What has happened would have been called treason in previous centuries, even up to the strategy of deception over the European project which began under the Heath Administration in the 1970s.

Our concept of government by **'The Queen-in-Parliament under God,'** consisting of Monarch, Lords and Commons, has worked best when all three have been in balance. For a considerable while now this has ceased to be the case, for not only have the Monarch and the Lords lost power, but the Commons also has seen the Cabinet and the Prime Minister's department (particularly after 1997) become more and more presidential, if not, dictatorial. Beyond this and since 1972, all have lost very considerable power to the European Union, a process which has continued daily like the movement of shifting sands in a tidal flow.

When Charles the First was executed, the understanding was that he had broken faith with the people. In our day, the Queen has been caused to break faith with her subjects – or placed in a position known at law as having been

'deceived in her grant.' It is, therefore, a legitimate action, which was enshrined by original statute law and repeated with great distinctness by Henry III, to restrain and hinder by all means those responsible for the unlawful and unconstitutional use of prerogative power (*Magna Carta* 1297, 61st Article).

The Oldest Office of the Realm Abolished

The constitutional action taken in 2003 by The Democratic Party in the High Court after 28 October, 2002, when the proposed EU constitution was announced, had reached appeal stage (the hearing took place on 4 July, 2003) when without previous consultation, on 12 June, 2003, amid a Cabinet re-shuffle Prime Minister, Tony Blair, announced he was abolishing the office of Lord Chancellor, **the oldest office in the Realm apart from the Monarch, which is the link between the Monarch and Parliament.** He subsequently discovered that this was not possible without a lawful procedure projecting us into a no man's land, as has happened in respect of the reform of the House of Lords.

Having refused to debate in a judicial review by what means this country could adopt a new constitutional settlement and continuing to refuse a referendum on the issue "under any circumstances" (18 October, 2003), **Tony Blair suddenly shifted his position** and on 20 April, 2004, announced in the House of Commons that he *would* hold a referendum on the EU constitution.

Following this, when the then EU constitution was agreed in Brussels on 18 June, 2004, Tony Blair apologized for having ruled out a referendum on the constitution for so long and said, **"I think I did get it wrong actually."** A referendum was specifically sought by The Democratic Party in its High Court action, which was resisted by the Government legal team. Not surprisingly The Democratic Party asked, unsuccessfully, for its legal costs of over £100,000 to be returned in respect of the action.

All this confirms that the Government acted unconstitutionally, like a bull in a china shop, in seeking to destroy our past by prerogative power. It became over-mighty, or in other words, too big for its boots. **The golden thread of British history is that we have always brought the over-mighty to book and this we must do again now.** The problem is that we no longer have a Judiciary schooled in the foundations of Britain's constitutional law, as was demonstrated at the appeal hearing on 4 July, 2003.

The Judges resolved that the proposed EU constitution was a political matter and not a question of law, because (shock horror!) it might result in a constitutional crisis. No one in the Courts or in Parliament, it seemed, had the courage to stand up to the over-mighty subject and do their job. If the Courts do not take up the matter of our great constitutional history under statute law, still in force, when the Prime Minister of the day is prepared to force his will on Parliament by the misuse of the Parliament Act, **then there is no other place to which the people can go, other than the streets.**

The Judiciary were Challenged

It was Ross McWhirter, on 30 June, 1972, who challenged the Judiciary in the Court of Appeal on the constitutional illegality that was taking place in Britain into the (then) EEC and which was ruled 'vexatious,' or not politically convenient. The full account of this betrayal is revealed in ***ROSS <u>WAS</u> RIGHT – The McWhirter File, THE QUEEN BETRAYED – and the nation sold into captivity,*** pub. 29 September, 2014, Covenant Publishing Co. Ltd. (ISBN 978-085205-118-4).

The Government and the House of Commons began to get the taste for using the *Parliament Act* 1911 in the House of Lords during the 1990s. It had only been used once before then (in 1918) and although amended in 1949, it is not fully resolved. Originally the Act was intended for money bills and was not to be used for major constitutional issues. As the Government elected in 2015 is committed to holding a referendum on Britain's continued membership of the EU before the end of 2017, it is crucial that the electorate understand what is at stake.

A Long-term Hidden Agenda

The break-up of the United Kingdom into EU Regions has become well advanced under Brussels rule which results in the cancelling out of everything we have fought for in respect of our constitutional freedoms for eight centuries. If we fail to take back the powers given over to Europe by certain politicians, who all along have had **a hidden agenda for a single European state,** then our children and grandchildren will have to fight their way out of the EU. As long ago as June 1947, Peter Thorneycroft MP, Chairman of the ironically named 'Design for Freedom Committee' said:

> **"No government dependent on a democratic vote could possibly agree in advance to the sacrifices, which any adequate plan for European Union must involve. The people must be led slowly and unconsciously into the abandonment of their traditional economic defences, not asked in advance of having received any of the benefits which will accrue to them from the plan, to make changes of which they may not at first recognize the advantage to themselves."**

This was only three years from the end of World War II and the days of the Third Reich which had a plan for a German dominated Europe called the "Europäische Wirtschaftsgemeinschaft" (European Economic Community), ***the very name*** **that emerged in 1957 under the Treaty of Rome.** Under the criminal justice system proposed by Brussels, known as *Corpus Juris*, Britain's historic freedoms and liberties are to be ended in the name of a new "efficient" Europe. It is no exaggeration to say that, if implemented, the United Kingdom – without *Habeas Corpus* and trial by jury – would in all reality become a police state.

A pseudo-fascist spirit has risen again in Europe through the EU founded on the **Treaty of Rome** signed 25 March, 1957. As always it is being encouraged by Rome which is more than tired of Protestant England. Rome thinks in centuries and she has been very patient to wait for the general falling away from *the* Faith, so that the ecumenical seeds can grow into giant tares. Thankfully the time of harvest is approaching when the reapers are to gather first the tares into bundles for burning (*Matthew* 13:24-30 and 36-43) and then the wheat into the householder's barn.

The Reformation was the re-formation of the nation state ruled by God – separated from domination by mystical, or *prophetic*, Babylon, in Roman Catholicism; the counterfeit Kingdom with its papal throne, based on Replacement Theology.

Therefore do we understand that the Church of the Reformation without the nation state is not the Kingdom of God on earth? Conversely do we also understand that the Kingdom of God upon earth without the nation state is not the Church of the Reformation? **The nation state is the constituent material and spiritual factor in the building of the Kingdom of God upon earth which of necessity has a unique Church and State relationship.**

Unless Christian folk understand that "the called out assembly" or the Elect, of necessity must function as a nation state, with a constitution reflecting the

Divine Law, they will always be prone to the ultimate deception now presented to them by ecumenical and Roman Catholic social teaching.

There is a creed of belief coupled with statute law to form a constitution which is symbolized in Great Britain by a Royal Throne of Majesty. The spiritual dimension of monarchy in Britain is one of a covenant nation before Almighty God. **The monarch, as 'the Lord's anointed,' is purely Regent until Christ returns as King of kings.** The papal throne operates as a counterfeit Kingdom in which the papacy in all its titles is evidenced as the *antichristos* in place of Christ – i.e. the Antichrist.

In the context of our great heritage of the Divine Law, we should not forget **Alfred the Great** (AD 871-901) and the fact that this enlightened ruler drew freely upon the Mosaic code and made many of these early Hebrew laws the laws of the Realm. It led later to the *Magna Carta* and our democratic government, the 'big charter' at first known as the Charter of Liberties**.** *Magna Carta* preceded Parliament and therefore cannot be repealed by Parliament. It is our protection now as is the *Declaration of Rights* 1688, which came into force while Parliament was suspended. **Only what Parliament has done can Parliament undo.**

The Great Army Debates

Let us also not forget the extraordinary dedication to our biblical heritage shown in the great Army debates of 1647. The re-formation of the Kingdom was well under way, but had been betrayed by a monarch who had not kept to the covenant oath. In *Cromwell our Chief of Men* by Antonia Fraser (pub. 1973, page 212), we read:

> **"With their breaks for prayer – the resolution for the proceedings of the second day read 'from eight to eleven to seek God, etc.' – and in their earnest invocations of Scriptural texts and even of the laws of the Israelites as [being] equally relevant to the case as English laws, these debates must rank as one of the most extraordinary moots in British history."**

For this great nation founded upon the supreme national code of law, with the Mother of Parliaments and a democratic Monarchy at its centre, which for the past half millennium has delivered Europe from oppression time after time, our return to true leadership can but draw us back to the Divine Law which came to us from Jerusalem via Greece. The Continental system emanated from Babylon via Rome and is based on slavery to dictatorship.

The great Army debates of 1647 took place because a civilian New Model Army was behind Cromwell – the 'middle ground' majority spoke and acted to deliver the nation from the corrupt court of Charles the First. Today we see Church and State in Britain in almost lifeless forms about to be buried by a global paganism and by a growing politico-economic dictatorship in Europe; for this has become the only alternative to our rejection of the supreme code of law for the nation. The Two Witnesses of Church and State appear as dead upon the constitutional *"street of the great city which spiritually is called Sodom and Egypt"* (*Revelation* 11:3-12). Significantly, however, we read that the bodies **cannot be buried** and life returns to them to the surprise of all.

The British Lion's Apparent Death

At this present time, the great British lion appears dead and is mourned worldwide. The lion is the King of Beasts and also the emblem of Judah, the royal house. Jesus is called ' The Lion of the Tribe of Judah ' and He triumphed over the grave. In the allegorical stories *The Chronicles of Narnia* by C S Lewis the lion, Aslan, was sacrificed and brought unexpectedly back to life to defeat all his enemies. Similarly, rejoicing over the current state of Great Britain is premature.

Our present state of apparent death is not the end of the story, for as we read, the enemies of the Kingdom could not destroy or bury the bodies and ***"the Spirit of life from God entered into them [again], and they stood upon their feet; and great fear fell upon them which saw them"*** (*Revelation* 11:11). A new watershed is with us in 2016-2018. It is time to ***"wake up the mighty men"*** (*Joel* 3:9) having marked the centenary of the sacrifice of millions for freedom in the Great War of 1914-18.

The door of the Schlosskirche *(castle church) at Wittenburg*

The year 2017 will mark the 500th anniversary of Reformation Day when Martin Luther nailed his *95 Theses* to the door of the *Schlosskirche* (castle church) at Wittenburg on 31 October, 1517, the event which sparked the Protestant Reformation. Within two weeks, copies of the theses had spread throughout Germany and within two months throughout Europe.

There is also the highly significant prophetic factor that 2018 will be 1,260 *solar* years from AD 758 when Pope Paul I became a temporal pope, which marks the set time limit for papal domination (*Revelation* 11:3) as foreseen by Rev Robert Fleming in his work *The Rise and Fall of Rome Papal* (page 20), published as long ago as 1701!

The foundations of our great nation must be restored. Let us look at them anew and indeed ***"unto the rock from whence we were hewn"*** (*Isaiah* 51:5), as the people of *The* Faith, inheritors of a unique constitutional freedom and of a destiny with global dimensions. Our imperative at this hour is not only for a great debate, but for a great alliance to restore the British way of life in the company of covenant nations.

Michael A Clark

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION

9 June, 2015

Letters to the Editor
The Times

Parliamentary threats to EU referendum bill

Sir, Your leader "Clear Blue Water" (June 8) rightly highlights some of the pitfalls facing the prime minister in the EU referendum. It was, however, deeply depressing that the 50-plus Conservative MPs in this "new" Eurosceptic group continue to peddle the myth that we only joined a trade arrangement, the Common Market, back in the 1970s. At that time we were already in a "free trade area" (the European Free Trade Association) whereas the EEC, based on the then European treaties, was about many other areas of joint working.

As MPs, the members should look back at the Hansard debates both when Edward Heath negotiated our EEC entry and at the time of the referendum under Harold Wilson. There they can read about the pooling of sovereignty, the range of common policies in agriculture, fisheries and regional policy, and even about the Werner plan – which eventually failed – agreeing to economic and monetary union by 1980.

By all means let us have a thorough debate but let it be an informed one based on facts and evidence, which surely is what the electorate deserves.

BARONESS QUIN
Vice-president, European Movement

REFUSED FOR PUBLICATION

9 June, 2015

Letters to the Editor
The Times

Parliamentary threats to EU referendum bill

Sir, If we are to take Baroness Quin at her word (Letters June 9) that it was a "myth that we only joined a trade arrangement, the Common Market, back in the 1970s" and that "the pooling of sovereignty" was in the open, then we have a clear case of deception and betrayal exercised upon the public perception of what the people thought was really at stake 40 years ago.

The constitution of our country under statute law was being pooled, most certainly, but also undermined by stealth, step by step in "a slow *coup d'état,*" to quote the late Tony Benn and to destroy the constitution per *R v Thistlewood* 1820 is an act of treason.

Baroness Quin writes; "By all means let us have a thorough debate but let it be an informed one based on facts and evidence, which surely is what the electorate deserves."

I could not agree with her more when it comes to the exposure of deception and betrayal by the elite, this concerning their agenda to undermine every lawful freedom that has been fought for by the people of our island nation since 1215.

MICHAEL CLARK

Britain: A Fair Referendum?

The Conditions for a Fair Referendum on Membership of the European Union

There has rarely been a fair, open and un-manipulated Referendum on the European Union organized by any State. So there has never been an opportunity for an informed electorate to express its real political will. There has always been a "question" to which the answer the Governments wanted was "Yes." So there was a double manipulation – the form of the question which usually guided the voter ("Do you agree that . . .") and the manipulation of "Yes" by attaching that response to the answer the Government favours. Therefore there must be no question – just alternative statements set out on the ballot paper – see below:

1. Only British citizens will be permitted to vote.

2. The Question must be in the form of alternative statements: "I want the UK to accept the renegotiated (or existing see **7.**) membership of the European Union" or "I want the UK to form a free trading self governing relationship with the European Union" – put in two separate boxes at the same level on the ballot paper.

3. Neither the Government nor the Government machine will be active in the referendum. The Governing parties will not be active as participants and their members and MPs will only be active as supporters of one side or the other. A Referendum is of the People and the Government shall have no separate role to play.

4. There will be only two active parties in the referendum and they will each provide scrutineers at all count venues and at the postal ballot count.

5. Only Government funds, split equally, will be available to each side. No funding will be allowed from business, trade unions, the European Commission or any third party nor will any third party be permitted to provide any materials, services or facilities whatsoever to the competing campaigns or to the British public in any form. The penalty for infraction will be a fine twice the value of the services provided.

6. The result of the Referendum will be binding on Parliament and politicians. Should the decision be to leave the European Union then the 1972 European Act will be repealed within 6 months and the United Kingdom will leave the European Union and begin negotiations as an unencumbered sovereign State to establish free trading and co-operation agreements with the EU and EEA States not part of the European Union and the British Commonwealth. The UK will not act under Article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty as the country will no longer be part of that constitutional Law.

7. If the negotiations conducted for a new relationship with the EU are not concluded by the time the Referendum must be held (say 31 December, 2017), the alternative statements (see **2.** above) put to the electorate must reflect the fact that there has been no successful renegotiation and the choice must be between the existing arrangements and "I want the UK to form a free trading self governing relationship with the European Union."

Rodney Atkinson
28 May, 2015

www.freenations.net

A Nation of Unions

Letters to the Editor
The Scotsman

3 August, 2015

Nicola Sturgeon is not correct to say that "no politician has the right to stand in the way" of another referendum on breaking up the UK (1 August).

If we believe that the UK is only a "union" state, that is, a "union of nations," then we would have to concede her point.

However, the authentic unionist position sees the UK, not merely as a union state, but largely a unitary state. That is, not just a union of nations, but largely a nation of unions.

In this nation of unions, everything that happens in one part of it is the concern of all the other parts.

We, the people, exercise our concern for those parts through our MPs in the British Parliament; the same Parliament which the SNP has legitimised by its presence.

Therefore, all the people of the UK acting collectively through our elected politicians in Parliament, are perfectly entitled to stop another referendum. The Prime Minister has the right to speak for all these people.

Unfortunately, quite a few "unionist" politicians and commentators have now adopted the Scottish nationalist frame of the UK as merely a "union" state.

Such unionists should be aware of the dangers of this unstable frame to the cohesion of the UK because it leads inevitably to Ms Sturgeon's notion that Scotland can just do its own thing without regard to its place and its responsibilities within the larger nation, without regard to everyone else in the UK, and without regard to the British Parliament's ultimate decision.

Yours faithfully,

Alistair McConnachie
A Force For Good

www.aForceForGood.org.uk

A KINGDOM UNITED UNDER GOD
The Supremacy of Parliament under ENGLISH COMMON LAW –
Marking *Magna Carta* 800

The United Kingdom, confirmed as remaining united after the Scottish Referendum gave us cause to glorify Almighty God, for it was He – the God of the whole House of Israel – who joined the 'two sticks' of Scotland and England in 1603 and 1707 in fulfilment of the prophecy in *Ezekiel* 37:15-22. We are now required to look to the future and the coming 'Exodus' of the United Kingdom from the *spiritual* Egypt of the European Union. The 800th anniversary in 2015 of the signing of *Magna Carta* has marked the completion of a renewal and resurrection cycle leading, we believe, to the deliverance of the Servant People in the Appointed Isles from a dictatorial *prophetic* Babylon in Europe.

The *Continuing* Kingdom of God 'in earth as it is in heaven' is the clear vision of Scripture. That it was spiritualised from being an obvious and world dominant constitutional system of government under God, instituted at Mt Sinai and becoming a literal Kingdom at Jerusalem, is the result – **Firstly** of the 9th-7th BC division and disappearance of the main bulk of the Twelve Tribes from Palestine. **Secondly**, it is the result of the coming in AD 597 into

Kent of the Roman Catholic system of religion, a system emanating from ancient Babylon.

The new covenant, made with the people of the House of Israel and the House of Judah, brought the nation under God into a new Appointed Place of liberty and freedom from which they could grow and expand to become a blessing to all the families of the earth. The early British Church of the **Cymri** was five centuries into its growth pattern before disaster struck in AD 562. A comet passing over Britain devastated the land and the power of the Kingdom under Arthur II centred in South Wales extending to Winchester, the capital of King Alfred in the 9th century who codified our laws, based on the Mosaic Law and largely incorporated into *Magna Carta* – giving birth to English Common Law.

While the Protestant reformation brought the People of God in the British Isles back into alignment with the Divine Purpose, prophetic truth and interpretation has been lost to the point where today even the Protestant organizations have sunk into deep sleep making little or no impression upon the national scene of political and spiritual awareness. Before us there is a scene of nation state drift towards sudden and unexpected perilous events. This sequence of events is revealed by Jesus Christ in His Olivet discourse concerning the ten virgins:

> ***"While the bridegroom tarried, they all slumbered and slept. And at midnight there was a cry made, Behold the bridegroom cometh; go ye out to meet him"*** (*Matthew* 25:5-6).

When it came to "going into Europe," the British 'virgins' – being in various states of slumber – contributed to the success of the great deceit over Europe during the past half century. When we lose our faith, history tells us that we also lose our freedom. This has been the story of a long trail of deception, deceit and betrayal, perpetrated on our people and this United Kingdom over the past four decades. In this entire saga we might well ask "where has the Church been" in standing up for truth and righteousness. The Established Church as a prophetic voice has been most silent. It has not discerned the times. It has given in to the wolves in sheep's clothing and seen the flock scattered across the hills without a shepherd in sight . . .

Let this be a wake-up call [in 2016], for the time has surely come for the *resurrection* of our body-politic in the *Continuing* Kingdom of God in our midst.

EDITORIAL (adapted)
The Covenant Nations magazine
Volume 3 Number 4, 2014

www.britishisrael.co.uk

Titles available in this series:

Number One: **Constitutional Illegality and the EU**

Number Two: **Britain's role and Destiny after the EU**

Number Three: **Britain's coming Freedom outside of the EU**

Number Four: **Royal Assent & our Laws and Customs**

---

*This series of booklets has been produced for free issue and they are **not for re-sale**. Further copies are available on request. Contributions towards postage and multiple copies are gratefully received for the campaign. Cheques to '**The Kingdom Foundation,**' 121 Low Etherley, Bishop Auckland, Co Durham DL14 0HA.*